

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <u>http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</u>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## H AS A MUTE IN LATIN.

## BY E. S. SHELDON.

 $W^{HY}$  did the Latin grammarians count h as one of the mutes? As this doubtless goes back to a time before h had universally or prevailingly ceased to be pronounced at all, we cannot admit the somewhat punning answer that it was because h was really mute or silent, expressing no sound. Perhaps the explanation is rather to be found by considering the nature of the sound itself. As a mere breathing h had no clear and distinct sound which could be prolonged and easily recognized as an element of speech like f or s; if it was prolonged, the result was only almost inaudible breath, and its effect as a consonant was plainly noticeable only when a vowel immediately followed, so as to produce a contrast between unvoiced breath and voice.<sup>1</sup> It was accordingly perceptible merely as a Vocaleinsatz (see Sievers, Phonetik, 4th ed., cap. 17, p. 138 ff.), and as such gave the effect of a momentary consonant, and was classed with the other momentary consonants, the mutae, rather than with the continuous consonants, the semivocales. Like the former it was regularly accompanied by a vowel, and probably seemed to the Roman grammarians as unpronounceable without a vowel as b, c, d, etc. Having the letter in common use they had to class it either as a *muta* or as a *semivocalis*, and could not altogether ignore this speech-sound in their classification of the letters, as the Greek grammarians could and did. The Latin classification may have been influenced, however, also by the fact that the Greek

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In this connection I may note that some nineteen or twenty years ago, while speaking with a German student at the University of Berlin, I illustrated to him the untrilled English r by pronouncing it without voice and alone. He said he heard nothing. Yet the breath rustle was probably more audible than that of h, and he would not have failed to hear an r before a vowel in my English pronunciation. His own r was trilled with the tip of the tongue.

aspirates,  $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\chi$ , represented in Latin spelling by ph, th, ch, were usually classed among the mutes, and I will not omit to add that by counting h as a mute the Latin grammarians brought their number of *mutae* up to nine (b, c, d, g, h, k, p, q, t), the number recognized for Greek.